In: KSC-BC-2020-07

The Prosecutor v. Hysni Gucati and Nasim Haradinaj

Before: Trial Panel II

Judge Charles L. Smith, III, Presiding Judge

Judge Christoph Barthe

Judge Guenael Mettraux

Judge Fergal Gaynor, Reserve Judge

Registrar: Dr Fidelma Donlon

Filing Participant: Specialist Counsel for Nasim Haradinaj

Date: 6 December 2021

Language: English

Classification: Public

Defence Application for Leave to Appeal in respect of 'Decision on Prosecution Requests in Relation to Proposed Defence Witnesses'

Specialist Prosecutor Counsel for Nasim Haradinaj

Jack Smith Toby Cadman

Valeria Bolici Carl Buckley

James Pace Jonathan Worboys

Matthew Halling

Counsel for Hysni Gucati

Jonathan Elystan Rees QC

Huw Bowden

Eleanor Stephenson

I. INTRODUCTION

- On 3 December 2021, the Trial Panel issued its 'Decision on Prosecution requests in Relation to Proposed Defence Witnesses'.¹
- 2. The Defence for Mr. Haradinaj seeks leave to appeal that decision as per the outline below.

II. SUBMISSIONS

- 3. At paragraph 102 111 of its decision, the Trial Panel discusses the evidence of DW1253 and further, grants the 'Second Expert Witness Challenge' and thus declines to hear the evidence of DW1253.
- 4. Further, at paragraph 120 of that decision, the Trial Panel rules that

"In this particular instance, the Panel considers that, should the Haradinaj Defence request leave to appeal under Rule 77 of the Rules, the Panel would be inclined to grant such leave in relation to the following issues arising from this decision:

- (a) The Panel's findings in relation to the irrelevance of the proposed testimony of DW1250 and DW1251 and its decision not to hear these witnesses; and
- (b) The Panel's findings in relation to the impermissible character of the proposed evidence of DW1253 under Rule 149 of the Rules and it decision not hear this witness"

¹ KSC-BC-2020-07/F00470

PUBLIC 06/12/2021 13:37:00

KSC-BC-2020-07/F00474/3 of 3

5. At paragraph 121, the Trial Panel, in ordering the Haradinaj Defence to advise by 6

December 2021 as to whether it does seek leave to appeal, explicitly rules that the

defence is "not required to make submissions regarding the certification test under Rule

77(2) of the Rules in relation to the above issues".

6. The Defence for Mr. Haradinaj now confirms that it does request leave to appeal in

respect of the decision concerning the testimony of DW1250, DW1251, and DW1253.

7. Further, the Defence for Mr. Haradinaj now confirms that it does not seek leave to

appeal in respect of any other issues arising out of the impugned decision.

8. Accordingly, the Defence for Mr. Haradinaj does not address the certification test per

Rule 77(2) noting that it is merely required to confirm an intention at this stage.

Word Count: 437 words

1. Du

Toby Cadman Carl Buckley

Specialist Counsel Specialist Co-Counsel